

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/202,104	VAN LEENGOED ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert Landsman	Art Unit 1647	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Landsman. (3) _____.

(2) G. Scott Dorland. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claim 1, Applicants have agreed to amend claim 1 to limit the bioassy to measuring a proliferative effect in B9 cells. Applicants also agree to amend claims 12, 15 and 75 to further distinguish, or clarify, among the terms "mixture," "composition" and "preparation." Applicants agree to amend claim 21 to recite the language exemplified in the present Office Action. This claim has been amended to recite "mammalian cells" in order to limit the scope of the claim. Applicants may argue this suggested amendment. If Applicants amend the claims as discussed between Examiner Landsman and Dr. Dorland, which is represented in this Office Action, then, with the exception of claim 74, all claim appear to be allowable. Claim 74 may be allowable depending on the support found in the specification for the amendments proposed by Dr. Dorland.